

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/509,952	PARTHASARADHI ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Celia Chang	1625	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Celia Chang.

(3) _____.

(2) Joseph Murphy.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 102(e0, 103(a)

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

see PTO-892

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Celia Chang/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discusssed the newly acquired references with the applicants and indicated the allowable scope. Applicants authorized an examiner's amendment deletinge-butyl, n-butyl alcohols and carbontetrachloride/ethylene dichloride. The correction of inventorship will be to the extend that the inventors are idnetical to the oath...